

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,027	10/19/2004	Russell Phillip Blake		6906
75	12/12/2005		EXAM	INER
Karla M Blake			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
Post Office Box	8503			
Mt Pleasant QLD,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALIA	AUSTRALIA			
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/512,027	BLAKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vishu K. Mendiratta	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 (	October 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	cepted or b) objected to by a drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl prity documents have been rec uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20051208			

Application/Control Number: 10/512,027 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current

U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign

document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim limitations are run-on sentences with no clear meaning

For example in claim 1, limitation "each said piece being simultaneously......are the element 9" is not tangible and appears to be rules for playing.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hardenstein (1666448).

Hardenstein teaches game pieces with number indicia in four sections (Fig.1C-F).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/512,027 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hardenstein (1666448).

Hardenstein teaches game pieces with number indicia in four sections (Fig.1C-F). on face. The only difference between applicant's tiles and cited tiles resides in meaning and information conveyed by printed matter not considered patentable Ex. Parte Breslow 192 USPQ 431.

In order to aesthetically appeal to potential players, it would have been obvious to modify game piece faces by printing attractive indicia.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested modifying face indicia to attract potential players.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 2383081,490689.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K. Mendiratta whose telephone number is (571) 272-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,027

Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VKM December 8, 2005 Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711